

Rating Surveillance Panel Teleconference

June 9, 2017 9:30am 11:00am

Attendees:

Bob Campbell, Jack Kobrinetz, Matt Hayden, Lee Cumberworth

Brian Foecking, Patrick Joyce, Christian Erjla

Addison Schweitzer, Jesse Rodriguez, Tony Barrera

Pat Lang, Craig Springer, Marianne May

Amal Savant, Dave Caprone

Robert Stockwell

Michael Rainey

Jim Gutzwiller

Suzanne Neal

Jeff Clark, Michael Kasimirsky

Haiying Tang

Approval of previous meeting minutes. Motion made by Brian Foecking, seconded by Jack Kobrinetz, to approve the minutes. Motion was approved unanimously, without comment.

Review of LD Workshop. Marianne May raised the question about how target raters can get calibrated if they fall outside the limits during the target rating session. Jeff Clark reviewed how it was handled at the LD Workshop. Discussion then moved to how to generate targets for the HD Workshop, who will make up the target rater group, parts assignments, and what feedback is given to the raters during a workshop. It was noted that the target generation session was intended to start at 1PM on Monday and that there was an error in the Target Rater invitation letter on the starting time, which would be corrected for the HD Workshop.

Color Code Limits. The limits used for determining Color Codes were reviewed and discussed.

Discussion of HD Workshop Target Generation. There was discussion of having a small “calibration exercise” as part of the target generation session, along with a limited data review of this exercise data. Jeff Clark will put together some calibration exercise options for the HD Workshop and circulate those to the group. The discussion then moved to the reuse of workshop pistons from one workshop to the next. Chain of custody issues related to the parts was discussed. All agreed that there would be value in looking at this data, but also realized that there were significant pitfalls in such an operation. It was also suggested that Target Raters from within a laboratory be assigned to different parts during target generation, if possible.

Discussion of Parts Included in the HD Workshop. There was some discussion of removing 1M-PC parts from the HD Workshop. However, it was pointed out that there are still labs calibrating 1M-PC stands in the industry, so removal of those parts could be a problem. A review of the 1M-PC Test Method determined that specific, 1M-PC parts were not required by the Test Method so removal of these parts from the workshop would not be a problem.

Discussion of the Origins of the Color Code Limits. Pat Lang commented that the Surveillance Panels need to get more involved with this group and rating issues in general. Also, the origins of the limits used in setting the Color Codes need to be made more apparent to all parties involved. All agreed that these were worthy goals.

Discussion of the “Minimum Standard Deviation” used in ratings. The current practice of using 0.05 as a “minimum standard deviation” was discussed. [Brian Foecking made a motion, with Jack Kobrinetz seconding, that we use 0.10 for a minimum standard deviation for the upcoming HD Workshop. Motion passed unanimously.](#)

Action Item: Jeff Clark and Bob Campbell will work on getting a statistical analysis done on the minimum standard deviation value used in the rating workshop.

Discussion of Distress Rating, as it relates to DD13 parts. The discussion then moved to the inclusion of DD13 distress ratings as part of the HD workshop. It was noted that there is no published method for performing this rating and that it is not part of Manual 20. Regardless, the desire was to include these parts and ratings in the upcoming HD Workshop.

The next meeting will be held in mid-July, with the primary objective of that meeting being the discussion of the parts available at the upcoming HD Workshop.