

2. Sequence IIIF LTMS Requirements

The following are the specific Sequence IIIF calibration test requirements.

A. Reference Oils and Parameters

The critical parameters are Hours to 275% Viscosity Increase, Average Piston Varnish, Weighted Piston Deposits. The reference oils required for test stand and test laboratory calibration are reference oils accepted by the ASTM Sequence IIIF Surveillance Panel. The means and standard deviations for the current reference oils for each critical and noncritical parameter are presented below.

HOURS to 275% VISCOSITY INCREASE

Unit of Measure: Hours

CRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|--------|--------------------|
| 433-1 | 121.09 | 7.701 |

AVERAGE PISTON VARNISH

Unit of Measure: Merits

CRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|------|--------------------|
| 433-1 | 9.30 | 0.300 |

WEIGHTED PISTON DEPOSITS

Unit of Measure: Merits

CRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|------|--------------------|
| 433-1 | 4.59 | 0.697 |

PERCENT VISCOSITY INCREASE @ 80 HOURS

Unit of Measure: 1/SQRT(VIS80)

NONCRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 433-1 | 0.1635099 | 0.0302263 |

21. T-11 LTMS Requirements

The following are the specific T-11 calibration test requirements.

A. Reference Oils and Parameters

The critical parameter is Soot at 12.0 cSt Viscosity Increase. Soot at 4.0 cSt Viscosity Increase, Soot at 15.0 cSt Viscosity Increase, and MRV Viscosity are noncritical parameters. The reference oils required for test stand and test laboratory calibration are reference oils accepted by the ASTM Mack Test Surveillance Panel. The mean and standard deviation for the current reference oils for critical and noncritical parameters are presented below.

SOOT @ 4.0 cSt VISCOSITY INCREASE

Unit of Measure: %

NONCRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|------|--------------------|
| 820-3 | 3.95 | 0.30 |
| 822-1 | 4.09 | 0.20 |
| 822-2 | 4.09 | 0.20 |

SOOT @ 12.0 cSt VISCOSITY INCREASE

Unit of Measure: %

CRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|------|--------------------|
| 820-3 | 5.92 | 0.22 |
| 822-1 | 5.81 | 0.50 |
| 822-2 | 5.81 | 0.50 |

SOOT @ 15.0 cSt VISCOSITY INCREASE

Unit of Measure: %

NONCRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|------|--------------------|
| 820-3 | 6.51 | 0.20 |
| 822-1 | 6.48 | 0.61 |
| 822-2 | 6.48 | 0.61 |

MRV VISCOSITY
Unit of Measure: cP
NONCRITICAL PARAMETER

| Reference Oil | Mean | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|-------|--------------------|
| 820-3 | 14981 | 916 |
| 822-1 | 13948 | 584 |
| 822-2 | 13948 | 584 |

B. Acceptance Criteria

1. New Test Stand

a. Less than four (4) Operationally Valid Calibration Results in Laboratory

- A minimum of two (2) operationally valid calibration tests with no stand Shewhart severity alarms, must be conducted on any approved reference oil.
- All operationally valid calibration test results must be charted to determine if the test stand is currently “in control” as defined by the control charts from the Lubricant Test Monitoring System.

b. Four (4) or more Operationally Valid Calibration Results in Laboratory*

- The first operationally valid calibration test run on any approved reference oil must have no stand Shewhart severity alarms using the “Reduced K” values. If the first operationally valid calibration test does not meet this acceptance criteria, then the New Test Stand criteria listed above in 1.a must be followed.

* Only test results from calibrated stands in the laboratory count toward the tally of four (4) required operationally valid calibration tests. The fourth test must complete (date and time) before the first test completes (date and time) on a New Test Stand that is seeking calibration with a single test result. In addition, the first test for the stand is to begin within six (6) months of the completion of the last acceptable calibration test.

c. Stand for which a lapse in calibration is not greater than two years.

- The first operationally valid calibration test run on any approved reference oil must have no stand Shewhart severity alarm using the “Reduced K” values. If the first operationally valid calibration test does not meet this acceptance criteria, then the New Test Stand criteria listed above in 1.a must be followed.

2. Existing Test Stand

- The test stand must have been an ASTM TMC calibrated test stand prior to LTMS introduction or have previously been accepted into the system by meeting LTMS calibration requirements.

- All operationally valid calibration tests must be charted to determine if the test stand is currently “in control” as defined by the control charts from the Lubricant Test Monitoring System.

3. Reference Oil Assignment

Once test stands have been accepted into the system, the TMC will assign reference oils for continuing calibration according to the following reference oil mix:

- 100% of the scheduled calibration tests should be conducted on reference oil 820-3, 822-1 or subsequent approved reblends.

4. Control Charts

In Section 1, the construction of the control charts that constitute the Lubricant Test Monitoring System is outlined. The constants used for the construction of the control charts for the T-11, and the response necessary in the case of control chart limit alarms, are depicted below.

LUBRICANT TEST MONITORING SYSTEM CONSTANTS

| | | EWMA Chart | | | | Shewhart Chart | |
|-------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | | LAMBDA | | K | | K | |
| Chart Level | Limit Type | Precision | Severity | Precision | Severity | Precision | Severity |
| Stand | Reduced | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1.43 |
| | Action | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.74 | 2.05 | 1.74 | 1.75 |
| Lab | Warning | 0.2 | -- | 1.74 | -- | -- | -- |
| | Action | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.58 | 1.96 | 1.74 | 1.75 |
| Industry | Warning | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.74 | 2.05 | -- | -- |
| | Action | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.58 | 2.81 | -- | -- |

The following are the steps that must be taken in the case of exceeding control chart limits. The steps are listed in order of priority, although charts should be studied simultaneously to determine the cause(s) of a problem. In the case of multiple alarms, contact the TMC for guidance.

- Exceed EWMA laboratory chart action limit for precision (critical parameter only)
 - Immediately provide written notice of the alarm and its meaning to all Test Purchasers and the TMC. This notice shall be appended to all test reports during the alarm period.
- Exceed EWMA laboratory chart warning limit for precision (critical parameter only)
 - Immediately provide written notice of the alarm and its meaning to all Test Purchasers and the TMC. This notice shall be appended to all test reports during the alarm period.

- Exceed EWMA test stand chart limit for precision (critical parameter only)
 - Immediately provide written notice of the alarm and its meaning to all Test Purchasers and the TMC. This notice shall be appended to all test reports for the stand in question during the alarm period.

- Exceed Shewhart test stand chart limit for precision (critical parameter only)
 - Immediately provide written notice of the alarm and its meaning to all Test Purchasers and the TMC. This notice shall be appended to all test reports for the stand in question during the alarm period.

- Exceed EWMA laboratory chart action limit for severity (all parameters)
 - Calculate laboratory Severity Adjustment (SA) using the current laboratory EWMA (Z_i) as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Soot at 4.0 cSt Viscosity Increase: | $SA = (-Z_i) \times (0.20)$ |
| Soot at 12.0 cSt Viscosity Increase: | $SA = (-Z_i) \times (0.50)$ |
| Soot at 15.0 cSt Viscosity Increase: | $SA = (-Z_i) \times (0.61)$ |
| MRV Viscosity: | $SA = (-Z_i) \times (584)$ |
 - Confirm calculation with the TMC.

- Exceed EWMA test stand chart limit for severity (critical parameter only)
 - Notify the TMC. If the direction of the test stand severity is deemed different from that of the test laboratory, conduct an additional calibration test in the identified test stand. If this limit is still exceeded after the additional calibration test, then remove test stand from the system, notify the TMC, correct test stand severity problem, and follow requirements for entry of a new test stand into the system.

- Exceed Shewhart test stand chart limit for severity (critical parameter only)
 - Conduct an additional calibration test.

The following industry issues are handled by the TMC and do not require individual laboratory action.

- Exceed EWMA industry chart action limit
 - TMC to notify test developer, surveillance panel chairman, and ACC Monitoring Agency. Meeting of TMC, test developer, and surveillance panel required to determine course of action.

- Exceed EWMA industry chart warning limit
 - TMC to notify test developer, surveillance panel chairman, and ACC Monitoring Agency. Coordination of TMC, test developer, and surveillance panel chairman required to discuss potential problem.

| T-11 Reference Oil Targets | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Oil | n | Effective Dates | | Soot @ 4.0 cSt Vis. Inc | | Soot @ 12.0 cSt Vis. Inc | | Soot @ 15.0 cSt Vis. Inc. | | MRV Viscosity | |
| | | From | To ¹ | \bar{X} | S | \bar{X} | s | \bar{X} | s | \bar{X} | s |
| 820-2 | 32 | 3-8-03 | *** | -- | -- | 5.78 | 0.21 | -- | -- | 14969 | 1097 |
| 820-2 | 16 | 5-28-05 | 5-31-10 | 3.81 | 0.23 | 5.78 ² | 0.21 ² | 6.36 | 0.26 | 14969 ² | 1097 ² |
| | -- ³ | 6-1-10 | *** | 3.95 | 0.30 | 5.92 | 0.22 | 6.51 | 0.20 | 14981 | 916 |
| 820-3 | 11 | 9-7-07 | *** | 3.95 | 0.30 | 5.92 | 0.22 | 6.51 | 0.20 | 14981 | 916 |
| 822-1 | 4 | 2-1-2013 | 7-2-2013 | 3.99 | 0.21 | 5.65 | 0.54 | 6.35 | 0.66 | 14408 | 314 |
| | 8 | 7-3-2013 | *** | 4.09 | 0.20 | 5.81 | 0.50 | 6.48 | 0.61 | 13948 | 584 |
| 822-2 | 8 | 1-1-2014 | *** | 4.09 | 0.20 | 5.81 | 0.50 | 6.48 | 0.61 | 13948 | 584 |

1 *** = currently in effect

2 Value based on earlier data set (n=32)

3 Targets based on oil 820-3

APPENDIX B
HISTORY OF INDUSTRY CORRECTION FACTORS

| Test Area | Effective | | Condition | Correction |
|------------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | From | To | | |
| IIIF | June 13, 2010 | *** | Reference Tests | Adjust the Hours to 275 % Viscosity Increase by adding 10 hours. |
| | | | Non-reference Tests | Refer to Section 12.7.9.6 of Test Method D6984 |
| IIIG | None | | All Tests | None |
| IIIGA | None | | All Tests | None |
| IIIGB | July 24, 2009 | *** | All Tests | Add 1.61 to PHOS |
| IVA | None | | All Tests | None |
| VG | July 1, 2005 | November 9, 2007 | All tests using fuel batch TF2221LS20 | Add 0.19 to AEV |
| | | | | Add 2.175 to AES and divide by 1.192 |
| | | | | Add 0.54 to APV |
| | | | | Add 0.627 to RCS and divide by 1.041 |
| | November 10, 2007 | *** | All tests using fuel batch TF2221LS20 | Add 0.12 to AEV |
| | | | | Add 0.42 to AES |
| | | | | Add 0.39 to APV |
| | May 26, 2009 | September 30, 2009 | All tests using fuel batch XC2721NX10 | Add 0.23 to RCS |
| | | | | Add 3.011 to AEV and divide by 1.356 |
| | October 1, 2009 | *** | All tests using fuel batch XC2721NX10 | Add 1.325 to APV and divide by 1.207 |
| Subtract 0.24 from APV | | | | |
| September 25, 2013 | *** | All tests using fuel batch AK2821NX10-1 | Subtract 0.12 from AEV | |
| | | | Adjust AES by equation: $AES + e^{[(AES-5.00)(AES-9.70)]/351}$ | |
| | | | Adjust RAC by equation: $(RAC - 4.71)/0.49$ | |
| | | | Subtract 0.757 from transformed OSCR | |
| VIB | None | | All Tests | Add 0.18 to AEV. |
| | | | | None |
| VID | None | | All Tests | None |
| VIII | None | | All Tests | None |

APPENDIX B (continued)
HISTORY OF INDUSTRY CORRECTION FACTORS

| Test Area | Effective | | Condition | Description |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| | From | To | | |
| 1M-PC | None | | All Tests | None |
| 1K | None | | All Tests | None |
| 1N | May 1, 2004 | September 27, 2005 | All Tests | Add -1.135 to ln(TLHC+1) |
| | September 28, 2005 | *** | All Tests | Add -0.451 to ln(TLHC+1) |
| 1P | None | | All Tests | None |
| 1R | None | | All Tests | None |
| C13 | None | | All Tests | None |
| ISB | April 21, 2011 | *** | All tests using batch B tappets with batch E, F, and G cams | Multiply ATWL by 0.637; Add -9.5 to ACSW |
| ISB | December 11, 2011 | November 12, 2012 | All tests using batch C Tappets with batch H cams | Multiply ATWL by 0.637; Add -9.5 to ACSW |
| ISB | November 13, 2012 | *** | All tests using batch C tappets with batch H and J cams | Multiply ATWL by 0.711; Add -5.6 to ACSW |
| ISM | June 28, 2007 | *** | All Tests | Add +1.7 to Crosshead Wear At 3.9% Soot Add +19.1 to Injector Adjusting Screw Wear At 3.9% Soot |
| | March 4, 2010 | *** | All Tests | Add +1.3 to Crosshead Wear At 3.9% Soot |
| | April 30, 2011 | *** | All Tests | Add +2.5 to Crosshead Wear At 3.9% Soot |
| | November 19, 2013 | *** | All Tests | Add -0.200 to ln(SAIAS) |
| T-8 | September 17, 2011 | *** | All Tests | Add +0.40 to Viscosity Increase at 3.8% Soot |
| T-8E | September 17, 2011 | *** | All Tests | Add +0.08 to Relative Viscosity at 4.8% Soot (50% DIN Shear Loss) Add +0.09 to relative Viscosity at 4.8% Soot (100% DIN Shear Loss) |
| T-10A | None | | All Tests | None |

APPENDIX B (continued)
HISTORY OF INDUSTRY CORRECTION FACTORS

| Test Area | Effective | | Condition | Description | |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------|---|---|------|
| | From | To | | | |
| T-11 | September 14, 2005 | *** | All Tests | Add -0.39% to Soot @ 12cSt Vis. Inc., Add 1274 cP to MRV Vis | |
| | December 6, 2005 | *** | All Tests | Add -0.36% to Soot @ 12cSt Vis. Inc., Add 713 cP to MRV Vis. | |
| | March 24, 2006 | *** | All Tests | Add -0.35% to Soot @ 12cSt Vis. Inc., Add 956 cP to MRV Vis. | |
| T-12 | *** | *** | All tests using batch R piston ring & cylinder liner hardware | Multiply Average Cylinder Liner Wear by 0.58 | |
| | *** | May 18, 2011 | All Tests SWTN Hardware | Multiply Average Top Ring Weight Loss by 0.95 | |
| | | | | Multiply Average Cylinder Liner Wear by 0.86 | |
| | | | | $\Delta\text{Lead}_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead}) \times 0.95)]$ $\Delta\text{Lead} (250-300)_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead} 250-300) \times 1.03)]$ | |
| | May 19, 2011 | June 4, 2012 | All tests using SWTN Hardware | Multiply Average Top Ring Weight Loss by 0.92 | |
| | | | | Multiply Average Cylinder Liner Wear by 0.83 | |
| | | | | $\Delta\text{Lead}_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead}) \times 0.92)]$ $\Delta\text{Lead} (250-300)_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead} 250-300) \times 0.93)]$ | |
| | | | | $\text{OC} = \exp[(\ln(\text{OC}_{100-300}) \times 0.95)]$ | |
| | June 5, 2012 | *** | All tests using SWTN Hardware | Multiply Average Top Ring Weight Loss by 0.92 | |
| | | | | Multiply Average Cylinder Liner Wear by 0.946 | |
| | | | | $\Delta\text{Lead}_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead}) \times 0.923)]$ $\Delta\text{Lead} (250-300)_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead} 250-300) \times 0.956)]$ $\text{OC} = \exp[(\ln(\text{OC}_{100-300}) \times 0.961)]$ | |
| | *** | *** | All tests using UUXO Hardware | Multiply Average Top Ring Weight Loss by 0.849 | |
| | | | | Multiply Average Cylinder Liner Wear by 0.566 | |
| | | | | $\Delta\text{Lead}_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead}) \times 0.797)]$ $\Delta\text{Lead} (250-300)_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead} 250-300) \times 0.700)]$ $\text{OC} = \exp[(\ln(\text{OC}_{100-300}) \times 0.916)]$ | |
| | *** | *** | All tests using VUXO Hardware | Multiply Average Top Ring Weight Loss by 0.849 | |
| | | | | Multiply Average Cylinder Liner Wear by 0.566 | |
| | | | | $\Delta\text{Lead}_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead}) \times 0.797)]$ $\Delta\text{Lead} (250-300)_{\text{Final}} = \exp[(\ln(\Delta\text{Lead} 250-300) \times 0.700)]$ $\text{OC} = \exp[(\ln(\text{OC}_{100-300}) \times 0.916)]$ | |
| | RFWT | None | | All Tests | None |
| | EOAT | None | | All Tests | None |

APPENDIX B (continued)
HISTORY OF INDUSTRY CORRECTION FACTORS

| Test Area | Effective | | Condition | | | Description |
|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---|
| | From | To | | | | |
| L-33-1 | | | None | | | None |
| L37 | 20010612 | *** | V1L686/P4L626A Non-reference | Lubrited Ring | Canadian | Ridging add 0.9922 |
| | 20040825 | *** | V1L686/P4L626A Non-reference | Lubrited Pinion & Ring | Canadian | Ridging add 0.6065 |
| | *** | *** | L247/T758A Non-reference | Lubrited Pinion | Canadian | Ridging add 0.5878, Pitting/Spalling add 0.7340 |
| | *** | 20130514 | V1L528/P4T883A Non-reference | Nonlubrited Pinion | Standard | Ridging add 0.3365, Rippling add 0.3365 |
| | | | | | Canadian | Rippling add 0.7885 |
| | | | | Lubrited Pinion | Standard | Ridging add 0.3365 |
| | | | | Lubrited Ring | Canadian | Ridging add 0.5878, Rippling add 0.5878 |
| | 20130515 | *** | V1L528/P4T883A Non-reference | Nonlubrited Pinion | Standard | Ridging add 0.3365, Rippling add 0.3365 |
| | | | | | Canadian | Rippling add 0.7566 |
| | | | | Lubrited Pinion | Standard | Ridging add 0.3365 |
| | | | | | Canadian | Ridging add 0.5878, Rippling add 0.5878 |
| | | | | Lubrited Ring | Canadian | Ridging add 0.3365 |
| L-42 | | | None | | | None |
| L-60-1 | | | None | | | None |
| HTCT | | | None | | | None |
| OSCT | | | None | | | None |